



Comparing Regional Climate Model output to observational data sets for extreme rainfall

Sunyer Pinya, Maria Antonia; Sørup, Hjalte Jomo Danielsen; Madsen, H.; Rosbjerg, Dan; Arnbjerg-Nielsen, Karsten

Published in:
Geophysical Research Abstracts

Publication date:
2012

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Sunyer Pinya, M. A., Sørup, H. J. D., Madsen, H., Rosbjerg, D., & Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K. (2012). Comparing Regional Climate Model output to observational data sets for extreme rainfall. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 14, EGU2012-8146.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Comparing Regional Climate Model output to observational data sets for extreme rainfall

M.A. Sunyer (1), H.J.D. Sørup (1), H. Madsen (2), D. Rosbjerg (1), and K. Arnbjerg-Nielsen (1)

(1) DTU Environment, DTU, Lyngby, Denmark (masu@env.dtu.dk), (2) DHI Water Environment and Health, Hørsholm, Denmark

Climate model projections of changes in extreme rainfall are highly uncertain. In general, the analysis of model performance is the first step in studies that attempt to deal with this uncertainty. Model performance is often measured by comparing statistical properties of climate model output with observational data. However, in the assessment of model performance regarding extreme rainfall use of different observational datasets might lead to different conclusions. Rainfall data are often available either as point measurements or interpolated gridded data. Point measurements result in an unevenly spatially distributed dataset while gridded data obtained from the interpolation of point measurements provide data on an evenly distributed grid. Measurements of extreme rainfall events may be highly uncertain and underestimation is generally expected; furthermore, in gridded data extreme rainfall events tend to be smoothed due to the interpolation process. In addition, small variations in space and time of observed and modelled extremes may have a large impact on the assessment.

The present study assesses the effect of the choice and interpretation of observation datasets on the conclusions drawn regarding the ability of Regional Climate Models (RCMs) to reproduce extreme events. Daily extreme rainfall over Denmark from an ensemble of RCMs is compared to three different observational datasets. The observational data considered are a point measurement dataset (ECA&D), a gridded dataset (E-Obs) and a re-analysis dataset (ERA-Interim). The results are compared with other recent studies considering climate model rainfall extremes. The study shows that in climate change studies dealing with extreme rainfall one must be aware of the effect and uncertainties from the use of different sources of observations to avoid overconfident and misleading conclusions.